



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,795	10/17/2003		Gareth Copping	3912.00002	9738	
21615	7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
CHRISTOP 24840 HARF		JIANG, CH	JIANG, CHEN WEN			
ST. CLAIR S		4I 48080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3744	3744	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/688,79	5	COPPING, GARETH						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Chen-Wer		3744						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 October 200	<u>3</u> .							
<i>'</i> —	•		action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Applicat	ion Papers									
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	t(s)									
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S tr No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040129</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 1-9 and 33) in the reply filed on 12/10/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Groups I-VI are not separate groups. This is not found persuasive because each group is patentably distinct and has a serious burden on the Examiner as stated in the previous Office Action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference number "V9" is not in the drawing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,2,3,4,5,8,9 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ritson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 3,913,581).

Ritson et al. disclose a cryogenic apparatus. Referring to Figs. 6-7, the apparatus comprises valves V3,V4,V5, valve controller, cooling mode and backflushing mode. When a three-position valve is used, the first conduit when a warming mode is selected, may be either closed, open to atmosphere and shut off from the source, or remain connected to the source. If open to atmosphere, reverse flow through the restricted orifice being only for such time that the valve is maintained in its second position. It is therefore within the scope of the invention to connect the first conduit to atmosphere by the three-position valve when in its second position particularly when delay means are incorporated which ensure such connection holds for only a brief period. Such momentary reversal of gas flow is advantageous in that contaminants may be flushed out of the first conduit. In the third position, the three position valve connects at least the first conduit of the first and second conduits to the exhaust passage (claim 8). Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/688,795 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 3,913,581).

Ritson et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Figs. 6-7 do not disclose supplying refrigerant through the first conduit and the cooling device and the second conduit during the third mode. Fig. 8 discloses supplying refrigerant through the first conduit and the cooling device and the second conduit during the third mode. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Figs. 6-7 with a supplying refrigerant through the first conduit and the cooling device and the second conduit during the third mode in view of Fig. 8.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wallach (U.S. Patent Number 4,018,227) is made of record as relevant prior art.

Art Unit: 3744

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner

